The evaluation process and award criteria



CEF- Transport evaluation process





1. Admissibility check

□ Admissible proposal (section 5 of call document): ☐ is electronically submitted on time, contains the forms provided inside the Submission System, and ☐ is complete: □ Application Form Part A — contains administrative information about the participants and the summarised budget for the project (to be filled in directly online) □ Application Form Part B — contains the technical description of the project (to be downloaded from the Portal Submission System, completed and then assembled and re-uploaded) ■ Mandatory Annexes



Mandatory annexes

- □Agreement by the concerned Member States (benefitting from the project) for all applications □ Detailed budget table per Work Package and calculator— for all applications ☐ Timetable/Gantt chart — for all applications □ Environmental compliance file - for all applications except ERTMS and RFN □ Activity reports of last year and List of previous projects (key projects for the last 4 years) (template available in Part B) - except exempted from operational capacity check: Public bodies, Member State organisations, international organisations, and beneficiaries of grants under CEF 1 and 2.
- □Full cost-benefit analysis (CBA) report and CBA cash flow template only for works or mixed projects with a budget (eligible costs) above EUR 10 million
- □Simplified CBA calculator only for works or mixed projects with a budget (eligible costs) below EUR 10 million

Lessons learnt from the Admissibility check under 2021 calls

☐Incomplete application for	ms:		
□No grant amount requested amount in	uested in part A of the AF, wrong bud SEP	Iget uploaded not co	orresponding to
□MS Agreement not project) missing	signed; agreements from other co	oncerned MS (bene	fiting from the
9	plete Environmental Compliance F i by the competent authority for NAT		•
	to another proposal, CBA report is a plified CBA Calculator,	an empty document,	missing Cash
☐Missing activity repo	rt of last year (private bodies),		
□Incorrect Gantt chart	referring to a project other than the s	ubmitted proposal	European

2. Eligibility check

□ Eligible proposal (section 5 of call document):

□Submitted by **applicants** who are legal entities (public or private bodies) established in the EU Member States (for the General calls) and established in one of the Member States eligible for funding from the Cohesion Fund (for the Cohesion calls); ☐ The activities proposed are within the technical scope of the topic described in section 2 of call document: ☐ The **geographic location** of the project is on the TEN-T network (core and/or comprehensive) ☐ The duration of the project for works or mixed projects should be 4-5 years maximum, and for studies projects it should be 2-3 years maximum. ☐ The earliest starting date may be the proposal submission ☐ The end date cannot be later than 31/12/2027 □Any **budget** requested is admitted – but recommended to be min EUR 1 million of EU

contribution requested.

Lessons learnt from the Eligibility check under 2021 calls

- □ Proposal submitted by an applicant from a non-eligible country
- □Location of the proposal not on the TEN-T network
- □Project's start date in parts A and B of AF in different times
- □ Project duration wrongly calculated
- □ Project proposal being 'out of scope' under the topic submitted
- □ Project proposal and the Global Project insufficiently clear



3. Evaluation - Award criteria



Priority & Urgency

□Correspondence with overall EU objectives (Green Deal) and sectoral – TEN-T network including CB link as listed in part III of Annex to CEF Regulation, contribution to the corriework plans and any network effect
□Relevance: if the proposal addresses the WP/Call/Topic objectives
□EU added-value: EU interest demonstrated and benefits vs national/regional/local level
☐ Synergies with other EU programmes, other CEF sectors (Energy and Digital), and synerge elements (for Works topics only)
□Taking into account:
☐ the EU new situation created by the Russian war of aggression against Ukraine, ☐ the communication on solidarity lanes (COM/2022/217/Final), and ☐ the EU mission on the "100 Climate Neutral and Smart Cities".

Maturity

- □ Readiness/ability of the project to start by the proposed start date and to complete by the proposed end date (technical maturity under responsibility of the applicants),
 □ Status of the necessary contracting procedures and permits (procedural maturity beyond the remit of the applicant),
 □ Financial availability needed to complement the CEF investment (financial maturity funds needed for completing the project), and
 □ Correspondence between the technical planning and financial profile.
- □Works/mixed proposals: demonstrating key steps of the environmental impact assessment by the date of application: an EIA report prepared by the project promoter and consultations carried out under the EIA Directive, to be followed by the development consent procedure that may be completed after the submission of the CEF application

Quality

- □ Operational capacity check: Assessing the competence and experience of the applicants and their project teams
- □The implementation plan proposed, from technical (WP well structured) and financial (cost effective) point of view,
- □Design approach, the **organisational structures** (project management) put in place (or foreseen) for the implementation,
- ☐ Risk analysis/management, the control and quality procedures, and
- ☐ The communication strategy to provide visibility to CEF funding,
- □Sustainability and maintenance strategy for the completed project, if applicable for works

Impact

- □Socio-economic impact of the project based on the CBA analysis when applicable
- □ Environmental and climate impact of the project climate change targets, impact on air pollutants, and (possible) greenhouse gas emission reductions
- ☐ Climate resilience (for Works topics)
- measures of climate proofing set for mitigation and adaptation of the proposed infrastructure (as specified the Technical guidance on the climate proofing of infrastructure)

As indicated in the WP, climate proofing is recommended (not yet required) for projects with already key steps of the EIA completed.



Impact

- □Other impacts on congestion, modal split, safety and security, service quality, and noise emissions
- □ Decision-making tool, input for policy making or development of best practices (for Studies topics)
- □Effects on the interoperability of the transport systems/modes and territorial accessibility in the TEN-T network (i.e. the cross-border dimension), innovation and digitalisation, competition, regional and local development and land use, and outermost regions.



Catalytic Effect

- □Effect of the CEF funding on the realisation of the project
- □ Overcoming a financial gap generated by insufficient financial viability, high upfront costs and/or the lack of market finance
- □ Financial leverage: Increasing the capacity to mobilise differentiated sources i.e. public and private investments & accelerating the overall investment plan
- □Improving the quality of the project
- □Effects on the stakeholder commitment/acceptance of the project



Lessons learnt from the evaluation of proposals under 2021 calls

□Low quality of the description of proposals:

- □Work packages detailed unclearly with insufficient number of milestones and unclear deliverables
- □Work packages covering several distinct tasks with no costs broken down (per task) res
- □ Limited risk analysis with incomplete mitigation measures
- ☐ Communication tasks described too vaguely

